

**Claims**

1. Method for testing the error ratio of a device under  
5 test against a specified allowable error ratio with the  
following steps:

- measuring  $ns$  samples of the output of the device,  
thereby detecting  $ne$  erroneous samples of these  $ns$   
samples,

10 - defining  $BER(ne) = ne/ns$  as the preliminary error ratio  
and

- deciding to pass the device, if the preliminary error  
ratio  $BER(ne)$  is smaller than an early pass limit  $EPL(ne)$ ,  
**characterized in that**

15 the early pass limit is constructed by using an  
empirically or analytically derived distribution for a  
specific number of devices each having exactly the  
specified allowable error ratio by separating a specific  
portion  $DD$  of the best devices from the distribution for a  
20 specific number of erroneous samples  $ne$  and proceeding  
further with the remaining part of the distribution for an  
incremented number of erroneous samples.

2. Method for testing the error ratio according to claim  
25 1,

**characterized in that**

the first point of the early pass limit is constructed by  
using an empirically derived distribution with the  
following steps:

30 - simulating the error behaviour of a high number of  
devices each having the specified allowable error ratio,

- noting in a first column of a table the number  $n_1$  of  
samples until the first error occurs for each individual  
device,

35 - calculating the preliminary error ratio  $BER(ne=1)$  of  
the first error by  $BER(ne=1) = 1/n_1$ ,

- separating the best  $DD$  devices and identifying a  
separation point, which marks the preliminary error ratio

BER(ne=1) of the worst of the DD best devices, as the first point EPL(ne=1) of the early pass limit.

3. Method for testing the error ratio according to claim 5 2,

**characterized in that**

the next point of the early pass limit is constructed by the following steps:

- simulating the error behaviour of the remaining devices,

10 - noting in the next column of the table the number  $n_i$  of samples until the next error occurs for each individual device,

- calculating the preliminary error ratio BER(ne) of the next error by  $BER(ne) = ne / \sum_i n_i$ ,

15 - separating the best DD devices and identifying a separation point, which marks the preliminary error ratio BER(ne) of the worst of the DD best devices, as the next point EPL(ne) of the early pass limit and

- repeating the above steps.

20

4. Method for testing the error ratio according to claim 2 or 3,

**characterized in that**

the simulating the error behaviour is done with a random generator or a pseudo random generator.

5. Method for testing the error ratio according to claim 1,

**characterized in that**

30 the first point of the early pass limit is constructed by using an analytically derived distribution with the following steps:

- defining a first preliminary distribution

$$P_1(ns) = BER \cdot (1-BER)^{ns-1}$$

35 with

BER is the true error ratio of the device and

$P_1$  is the probability to find the first error ne = 1 after ns samples,

- separating the DD best part from the 1-DD worst part of the distribution  $P_1$  and identifying the separation point of the DD best part from the 1-DD worst part as the first point  $EPL(ne=1)$  of the early pass limit and
- 5 - defining the 1-DD worst part of the first preliminary distribution  $P_1$  as a first distribution  $U_1$  of undecided devices.

6. Method for testing the error ratio according to claim  
10 5,

**characterized in that**

the next point of the early pass limit is constructed by the following steps:

- defining a next preliminary distribution

15  $T_2(ns) = U_1(ns) * P_1(ns)$   
with

$T_2(ns)$  is the probability to find the next error after ns samples regarding the loss of the best DUTs from the previous step and

20 \* is the convolution operation

- separating the DD best part from the 1-DD worst part of the distribution  $T_2$  and identifying the separation point of the DD best part from the 1-DD worst part as the next point  $EPL(ne)$  of the early pass limit,

25 - defining the 1-DD worst part of the distribution  $T_2$  as the next distribution  $U_2$  of undecided devices and  
- repeating the above steps.

7. Method for testing the error ratio according to any of  
30 claims 1 to 6,

**characterized in that**

the specific portion DD of the best devices is selected with regard of the desired selectivity of the test.

35 8. Method for testing the error ratio according to claim  
7,

**characterized in that**

the selectivity of the test is defined as

(pass probability - (the complement of the pass probability, which is the fail probability)) /  
(error ratio of a bad device - specified allowable error ratio).